



Making Sense of Reading Teacher Education Research and Prospects for Future Research

Victoria J. Risko

IRA President

17th European Conference on Reading

Mons, Belgium

July 31-August 3, 2011

References

Clift, R. T., & Brady, P. (2005). Research on methods courses and field experiences. In M. Cochran-Smith & K. Zeichner (Eds.). *Studying teacher education: The report of the AERA panel on research and teacher education*. (pp. 309-424). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Cochran-Smith, M., & Zeichner, K. (Eds.). (2005). *Studying teacher education: The report of the AERA panel on research and teacher education*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Hathaway, J. I., & Risko, V. J. (in press). On becoming teachers: Knowing and believing. In K. Hall, T. Cremin, B. Comber, & L. Moll (Eds.), *International handbook of research on children's literacy, learning, and culture*. West Sussex, England: Wiley-Blackwell.

Risko, V. J. (Fall, 2006). Facing teacher education challenges as an insider. *Journal of Reading Education*, 2-18.

References

Presentation Overview

- **Background of the studies**
- **Findings focusing on beliefs, knowledge, and pedagogy**
- **Patterns across studies**
- **Conclusions and recommendations**

Background

- Global interest in teacher education research & policy
- In U.S., requirement for *highly qualified teachers* in every classroom
- Insider vs. outsider perspectives
- **Common goal** - How to improve teacher preparation to enable quality education in multicultural, multi-linguistic, urban, rural, & diverse settings

Teaching the Hard Stuff

Preparing teachers to

- Respond to students' capabilities
- Treat diversity as a resource rather than deficit
- Teach students rather than the curriculum

Global Agenda

- **Attend to globalization, transcultural understandings, and cosmopolitanism**
 - **Reconciling global and multicultural perspectives**
 - **Examining international citizenship**
 - **Providing international perspectives on the arts, sciences, and humanities**
- (Bourn, Scheunpflug, & Ramsey, 2009)**

Synthesis and Analysis of Empirical Research, 1990- 2011

Primarily occurring:

- **During one semester or less**
- **In early childhood or elementary education programs**
- **In methods courses, methods courses with field placements. [fewer studies during student teaching or induction years]**
- **As framed from a constructivist theoretical perspective**

Synthesis and Analysis of Empirical Research, 1990- 2011

Typically:

- **Qualitative research**
- **Sample of convenience, self-report methodologies, brief duration**
- **Wide variability in level of detail reported**
- **Lack of attention to possible synergistic relationships between individuals and context**
- **Inattention to historical continuity, definition of terms, and researchers role**

Synthesis and Analysis of Empirical Research, 1990- 2011

- Typically, have not produced robust, multi-site data trails
- Yet value in small localized studies, when careful analysis of situated information (Zeichner, 1999).
- Convergence across studies provides a rich set of budding indicators of impact.

Questions Guiding Research

- **What are prospective teachers learning about reading and writing development, assessments, and instruction?**
- **What are prospective teachers learning to prepare them to teach to differences as resources?**
- **Are they taught to reflect on and connect personal views to educational theory and instruction?**

Beliefs, Knowledge, and Practice

- *Issue of beliefs as filters*
- Lacked definitional preciseness
- *Research questions* typically focused on “I believe” statements about the reading process, personal reading habits, content reading, ...
- Majority of studies traced beliefs over time (usually one semester)

Beliefs

Three patterns

- **Identify consistency and application of beliefs for instructional decision making**
 - **Neutrality stance assumed by researchers**
 - **Identify beliefs about reading process or instruction**
 - **Lonberger (1992)**
 - **Wham (1993)**
 - **Konopak, Readence, & Wilson (1994)**
 - **Fox (1994)**
 - **Raine, Levingston, Linek, Sampson, & Linder (2003)**
 - **Hathaway (2009)**

Beliefs

Neutrality replaced with intervention

- **Clark & Medina, 2000**
- **Fazio, 2000, 2003**
- **Hall, 2009**
- **Linek, Sampson, Raine, Klakamp, & Smith, 2006**
- **Matanzo & Harris, 1999**
- **Shaw, Dvorak, & Bates, 2007**
- **Stevens, 2002**
- **Theurer, 2002**

Beliefs

- **Involve students in pupil data collection/analysis and/or guided interactive sessions with pupils**
 - **Mallette, Kile, Smith, McKinney, & Readence (2000)**
 - **Nierstheimer, Hopkins, Dillon, & Schmitt (2000)**
 - **Worthy & Patterson (2001)**
 - **Theurer (2002)**
 - **Wolf, Hill, & Ballantine (1999)**
 - **Wolf, Ballantine, & Hill (2000)**

Findings

- Beliefs can be disrupted
- Beliefs changed in positive direction
 - when strategies viewed as useful for personal learning
 - when constructing interpretations of pupil data and/or observing positive responses to instruction
 - when deriving cross-cultural connections
- Mixed findings
 - when course content was distanced away from application and personal or disciplinary connections

Much to be Learned about Beliefs

- Uncovering personal beliefs is difficult
- Identifying beliefs as *self*, one's identity
- Replacing beliefs, through *indoctrination*, is inadequate (Hathaway, 2009)
- Approaching beliefs to reconcile differences, work through dissonance

Knowledge

- Knowledge typically examined over time and with single testing

Three patterns to investigations

1. Content knowledge

- Sadoski, Norton, Rodriguez, Nichols, & Gerla (1998)
- Carlsson, Fulop, & Marton (2001)

Knowledge

Metalinguistic knowledge

- Mather, Bos, & Babur (2001)
- Fielding-Barnsley & Purdie (2005)

Pedagogical knowledge and reasoning

- Shefelbine & Shiel (1990)
- Risko (1992)
- Risko, Peters, & McAllister (1996)
- Roskos & Walker (1993, 1994)

Knowledge

2. Learn about teaching

- *Massey (1990)
- *Maheady, Mallette, & Harper (1996)
- *Mallette, Maheady, & Harper (1999)
- *Morgan, Gustafson, Hudson, & Salzberg (1992)
- Wold, Young, & Risko, 2011

Knowledge

3. Pedagogical reasoning

- Risko, Roskos, & Vukelich (1999, 2002)
- Kasten & Padak (1997)
- Leland, Harste, & Youssef (1997)

Findings

- Knowledge gains in course content when viewed as relevant and contextually appropriate
- Knowledge gains in pedagogical content and reasoning
 - with procedural knowledge
 - with guidance and explicit referents supplied
- Less progress with
 - causal reasoning
 - abstract information
 - higher level reflective thinking

Pedagogy

Issue of application of beliefs and knowledge
Pattern of questions

Congruence with beliefs

- Fazio (2000)
- Matanzo & Harris (1999)
 - Wolf, Carey, & Mieras (1996)

Congruence with pedagogical knowledge

- Nierstheimer and colleagues (2000)
- Mallette and colleagues (2000)
 - Maheady and colleagues (1996*, 1999*)
 - Bean and colleagues (1990, 1992, 2001)
 - Wold, Young, & Risko, 2011
 -
 -

Pedagogy

- **Congruence with sensitivity to cultural differences**
 - Akiba, 2011
 - Kidd, Sanchez, & Thorp (2002)
 - Wolf, Hill, & Ballentine (1999)
 - Wolf, Ballentine, & Hill (2000)
 - Xu (2000)

Findings

Under certain conditions (contextual coherence, guided applications) and within certain topic areas (e.g., theoretical orientation, struggling readers, content reading)

- ***Learning and beliefs changed when applied to teaching or when collecting/analyzing pupil data***
- **Knowledge and beliefs most strongly affected within methods courses**

Findings

- **Stronger impact within “learning and doing” approaches to teacher education**
- **Several “tools” appear to be useful**
 - **Pupil assessment tools**
 - **Examining personal uses of reading strategies**
 - **Writing narratives about personal literacies**
 - **Writing pupils’ family histories**
 - **Peer collaboration and coaching**

Across Studies: Pedagogical Knowledge Building and Explicitness

- Apprenticeship and guided learning
 - *Learning by doing* is consistently associated with impact
 - Strong correlation between *explicit teaching in methods course and applications to pedagogy*
 - Explicitness involves developing shared knowledge of *examples, demonstrations and thinking aloud, practice* with peers and with students associated *with (constructive) feedback.*

Across Studies: Pedagogical Knowledge Building and Explicitness

- Support for *prolonged engagement in authentic teaching situations and collaborative discussions*
- Support for *one-to-one teaching assignments as part of the whole*
- Support for importance of teacher educator as mentor with caring and supportive roles (*taking the time*) as related to working through beliefs, teaching in multicultural settings, when lack of congruence

Across Studies: Pedagogical Knowledge Building and Explicitness

- **Instructional tools**
 - Pupil data collection and analysis
 - Narrative writing
 - Sustained, collaborative conversations
 - (Video) interactive case studies
 - Teacher inquiry (problem or issue-based) projects

Recommendations for Future Research

- *Build on the research we have.*
- Attend closely to issues we identified - quality controls, programmatic contexts,
 - Investigate further claims of researchers (e.g., scrutinize finding of *explicitness*)
 - Conduct multi-site research studies
 - Apply an ecological approach to account simultaneously for multiple layers of events and settings

Recommendations for Future Research

- *Expand and deepen the research agenda.*
 - More research to examine the level of intensity and explicitness of instruction that may be needed in reading teacher education programs
 - Unpack variables in “Learning and Doing” methods
 - Examine conditions accelerating and inhibiting learning

Recommendations for Future Research

- **What types of “guided practice formats” are best? Is there a “best” or do we expect formats to be situated and responsive?**
 - **Do prospective teachers learn to implement a particular strategy in such a way that they can become independent and flexible in its use?**
 - **Does “direct, explicit instruction” followed by “carefully scaffolded guided practice” with “specific, contextualized feedback” lead only to a mechanistic approach to teaching?**

Recommendations for Future Research

- *A crucial need for future research in the area of diversity, with*
 - Studies to inform our decisions about teaching diverse students: culture, ethnicity, language, and socio economic diversity
 - Studies to describe, inform and respond to inequalities

Global Agenda

- **Attend to globalization, transcultural understandings, and cosmopolitanism**
 - Reconciling global and multicultural perspectives
 - Examining international citizenship
 - Providing international perspectives on the arts, sciences, and humanities

(Bourn, Scheunpflug, & Ramsey, 2009)

Victoria.j.risko@vanderbilt.edu

u