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Relevance of preschool education

- The PISA-Shock in Germany: high correlation of achievement with social class, with a high proportion of pupils failing in school coming from lower socio-cultural and migrant backgrounds.

- The Standing Conference of Ministers of Education and Cultural Affairs has suggested early language training in preschool and kindergarten institutions.
The relevance of preschool education for the foundation of literacy

- Educational policy aims at improving both family literacy (Hornberg & Valtin, 2011) and the quality of early childhood education and care.

- As PIRLS demonstrates, children who have spent at least two years in kindergarten have an advantage in reading and mathematic in grade 4 over children with less or no participation in kindergarten (Bos et al. 2007).
Lesekompetenz nach Dauer des Besuchs von Vorschule und Kindergarten in der Vergleichsgruppe 1 – Gesamtskala Lesen
While the relevance of language training is acknowledged, however, there is a controversy between two approaches:

- Functional training (fostering phonological awareness)
- Integrative language learning and providing a literacy rich environment
Phonological awareness (PA)

- In educational psychology awareness PA is supposed to be an important **prerequisite** skill for literacy learning;
- **deficits** are held to lead to difficulties in reading and spelling and
- should be compensated for, through **specific training prior** to the start of formal schooling.
Topics of my presentation

- Is there empirical evidence of its importance for the learning of reading and spelling?
- Is phonological awareness (PA) a useful concept?
- Are phonemic training programmes in kindergarten useful?
Definition of PA

- “the ability to explicitly reflect on the sound structure of spoken language” (Küspert, Weber, Marx und Schneider, 2007)

- distinguishing two components:
  - in a broad sense: “analysis of broader sound structures such as words and syllables”,
  - in a narrow sense it “concerns the ability to isolate phonemes within words and syllables” (Schneider et al., 2000)
Empirical evidence from educational psychology

- Correlations between PA measures and reading and spelling are low to moderate.

- Correlations have no explanatory power but may be attributed to common variance: IQ, vocabulary and preschool reading abilities.
Low prognostic validity

The positive correlations between reading and PA (as measured by the Bielefeld Screening, BISC) were not replicated (Brügelmann, 2005).

- The BISC could only identify fewer than 20 percent of the children with reading and spelling difficulties in first and second grade (Marx & Weber, 2006).

- Moreover, most of the “children at risk” developed normal reading and spelling competences (Brügelmann, 2005).
No or little transfer effects of a preschool training of PA

- No shortterm effects (Rothe 2008, Wolf, Stanat & Wendt, 2010)

- No longterm effects (Hartmann 2002)

- Effects only to decoding, not to comprehension (Schneider, Marx & Weber 2008)

- No effects in first grade, in second grade only small effects on reading and spelling of girls (Roos et al. 2007)
No or little transfer effects of a preschool training of PA

- No advantage of isolated phonological training compared to integrative methods (book-rich environment, experiences with written language (Lenel 2005; Rackwitz 2008)).
PA does not refer to a unitary function but to an arbitrary conglomerate of heterogeneous linguistic units and operations:
- Syllables: speech units
- Words: grammatical units
- Phonemes: abstract, linguistic category

While syllables are perceptual units, words and phonemes are conceptual.
Critique of the concept of PA

The vague concept needs to be embedded

- into a psycholinguistic theory
  - dealing with the development of language awareness and with

- Into a cognitive developmental theory
  - dealing with literacy acquisition

(Andresen 1985; Downing & Valtin 1984).
PA and reading acquisition – the cognitive clarity theory

- Hypothesis: PA is a necessary prerequisite.

- Counterargument:
  When learning written language children have to gain cognitive clarity (insights) regarding the function and the structure of print.
Four insights: 1. objectivation of language

- The ability to objectify language (abstraction from action context) is indeed a necessary prerequisite.

- Children have difficulty in differentiating between words and their referents:
  >cow< is a longer word than >butterfly<
  >Blätter< (leaves) are many words
  “Listen, what does >car< begin with?”- “with a bumper.”
Four insights: 2. concept of a word, word segmentation

- Preschool children have difficulties to segment sentences into words.

- Only **content** words (nouns, verbs) are regarded as words, but not **function** words (articles, prepositions and conjunctions).
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Four insights: 3. concept of phoneme, analysis and blending

The segmentation of words into phonemes develops gradually as invented spellings show:

- Recognition of prominent speech sounds L (for elephant)
- Rudimentary or skeleton writings (LFT)
- Complete phonetic strategy: FEREND (friend)
- Phonemic strategy with first use of orthographic patterns
Four insights: 4. **graphemes and phonemes**

- The crucial point: assigning correct graphemes to phonemes by learning the phoneme-grapheme-correspondence rules and principles of orthography.
- In German orthography we have 40 phonemes and 89 graphemes.
Main problem: assigning correct graphemes to the phonemes

Frühe Verunsicherung bei rechtschreibschwächeren Kindern
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PA and reading acquisition – the cognitive clarity theory

The acquisition of reading, writing and spelling is seen as a sequence of characteristic strategies for dealing with written language, reflecting growing cognitive and linguistic insights into the relationship between spoken and written language and the specific orthography.
## Developmental Model of Acquisition of Reading and Spelling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Skills and Insights</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Spelling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Imitation of behavior</td>
<td>Pretend reading</td>
<td>Scribbling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Knowledge of single letters in a figurative sense, but no insight into the relationship between letters and sounds</td>
<td>&quot;Naive-holistic&quot; reading. Children guess at words, orienting themselves to context and figurative cues</td>
<td>Logographic strategy: drawing arbitrary sequences of letters or letterlike forms, (&quot;pseudo-words&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Beginning insight into the function of letters</td>
<td>Beginning alphabetic strategy (phonetic cue reading)</td>
<td>Rudimentary or skeleton writings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Insight into the alphabetic principle, ability to segment words into speech sounds and phonemes</td>
<td>Sounding-out strategy (reading letter by letter), sometimes without understanding</td>
<td>Phonetic-articulatory strategy (I spell as I speak)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Knowledge and use of orthographic patterns</td>
<td>Alphabetic reading with use of chunks</td>
<td>Phonemic strategy with first use of orthographic patterns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Automatized processes</td>
<td>Automatized word recognition</td>
<td>Correct spelling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PA and reading acquisition – the cognitive clarity theory

Phoneme awareness and the ability to analyze and blend phonemes are

- central for the grasping the alphabetic code
- components (not prerequisites) of learning to read and spell
- only relevant at stage 4: sounding out strategy in reading and „spell-as-you-speak“ strategy in spelling
Phonological training as preparation for learning to read and write?
A critical look at the Würzburg Training

- Each letter is introduced with a story:
  - "a" (like in father): at the dentist you open the mouth and utter "aaaa"
  - "zzz" makes the bee (für S).
- This approach has been criticised already 70 years ago and has been abandoned in primary school.
Children listen to a sentence with the information that all words start with the same (!) sound

*Ohrwurm Olli organisiert originelle Opern.*

This is a confusion of letter name and phoneme

> O < represents 2 phonemes, a short and a long vowel sound.
Phonological training in kindergarten?

- Instead of learning meaningless elements of written language such as letter-sound-associations in didactically questionable formats, the time in kindergarten should be devoted
- to oral language development (vocabulary and grammatical structures)
- to experiences with the *functions* of written language
- by providing a rich literacy environment.
Phonological training in kindergarten?

- The ability to shift the attention from content to form may be fostered in language games, using rhymes, tongue-twisters and poems.
- No isolated training seems necessary.
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